## Privacy in Statistics and Machine Learning Spring 2023 In-class Exercises for Lecture 18 (Synthetic data generation and MW-EM) March 30, 2023

March 30, 2023

## Adam Smith (based on materials developed with Jonathan Ullman)

Problems with marked with an asterisk (\*) are more challenging or open-ended.

- 1. Consider the class of 3-way marginal queries. Suppose data records are *d*-bit vectors (so  $\mathcal{U} = \{0, 1\}^d$ ). For any three distinct features  $a, b, c \in [d]$ , the marginal table  $t_{a,b,c}(\mathbf{x})$  is an 8-dimensional vector with the frequencies in  $\mathbf{x}$  of all  $8 = 2^3$  possible combinations of values for features a, b, c. We can think of the table as 8 linear queries. The set of 3-way marginal queries contains all possible 3-way marginal tables.
  - (a) What are *m* and *K* for this class of queries?
  - (b) For error *α*, what is the sample size required by the accuracy bound we proved for simple Gaussian noise, the projection mechanism, and MW-EM?
  - (c) If  $\alpha$  is constant, which of these methods provides hte best  $\ell_{\infty}$  guarantee? Does the answer change if we are just interested in an  $\ell_2$  guarantee?
- 2. What is the running time of MW-EM? Assume *T* is given, and that it takes  $\Theta(1)$  time to evaluate  $\varphi_i(z)$  for each  $i \in [k]$  and each potentia data record *z* in  $\mathcal{U}$ . Assume that real arithmetic operations (exponentiation, summation, etc) take constant time. [If it is easier, you can assume the exponential mechanism is replaced with report-noisy-max.]

Compare the running times of the Gaussian and MW-EM mechanisms on three-way marginal queries.

- 3. What kinds of synthetic data distributions can MW-EM generate?
  - (a) Show that the distributions  $\mathbf{p}^t$  generated by the MW updates have the following feature: there exists coefficients  $c_1, ..., c_k$  (depending on the interaction so far with the DP interface) such that  $w^t(z) = \exp(c_1\varphi_1(z) + \cdots + c_k\varphi_k(z)) = \prod_{i=1}^k e^{c_i\varphi_i(x)}$  for each  $z \in \mathcal{U}$ .
  - (Side note: You can also show that at most t of the coefficients are nonzero.)
  - (b) Fix the data universe to U = {0, 1}<sup>d</sup> and consider the class of *one-way marginals*: these simply ask for the frequency of 0's and of 1's in each of the *d* binary attributes. Show that running MW-EM for this class of queries can only produce distributions p<sup>t</sup> under which the *d* attributes are independent.
- 4. What loss of generality is there in producing synthetic data as output for query release? Not much, it turns out. Suppose we have a differentially private algorithm that, for every  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{U}^n$ , produces as output a ist of *k* values  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, ..., a_k)$  such that  $\|\mathbf{a} \mathbf{Fh}_{\mathbf{x}}\|_{\infty} \leq \alpha$ .
  - (a) Show that we can post-process the algorithm's outputs to produce a synthetic data distribution  $\hat{\mathbf{p}}$  such that  $\|\mathbf{F}\hat{\mathbf{p}} \mathbf{F}\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{x}}\|_{\infty} \le 2\alpha$ . [*Hint:* Search over  $\Delta([m])$  to find a  $\hat{\mathbf{p}}$  for which  $\|\mathbf{F}\hat{\mathbf{p}} \mathbf{a}\|_{\infty}$  is as small as possible.]
  - (b) (\*) Give a post-processing algorithm that runs in time  $poly(m, k, 1/\alpha)$  and produces a  $\hat{\mathbf{p}}$  such that  $\|\mathbf{F}\hat{\mathbf{p}} \mathbf{F}\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{x}}\|_{\infty} \leq 3\alpha$ . [*Hint:* Use mutiplicative weights!]