Privacy in Statistics and Machine Learning In-class Exercises for Lecture 3 (Reconstruction Part 2) January 26, 2023

Adam Smith

Problems with marked with an asterisk (*) are more challenging or open-ended.

1. (More on prefix sums.) Recall the prefix sum queries from the Lecture 2 exercises. The *n* prefix sums are the queries of the form $\sum_{j=1}^{i} s_j$ (for *i* from 1 to *n*), which correspond to query vectors

$$F_i = (\underbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}_{i \text{ ones}}, \underbrace{0, 0, \dots, 0}_{n-i \text{ zeros}})$$

Suppose we tried to prove the reconstruction theorem (Thm 2.5) using prefix sums instead of random queries. Which steps of the proof would fail and why?

Can the proof be repaired without significantly changing the result (i.e. without changing more than the specific constants involved)? (Consider the example in problem 4(a) from Lecture 2.)

2. (Reconstruction via linear programming.) Consider the reconstruction attack that takes as input query vectors $F_1, \ldots, F_k \in \{0, 1\}^n$ and noisy answers $a_1, \ldots, a_k \in \mathbb{R}$ and return the vector $\hat{s} \in [0, 1]^n$ that minimizes

$$\max_{i=1,\dots,k} |F_i \cdot \hat{s} - a_i| \tag{1}$$

Show how to write a linear program of the form introduced in the notes whose solution is the optimal vector \hat{s} .

(Preventing reconstructon with subsampling) Consider a dataset x = (x₁,..., x_n). Now fix m = n/5 and we will define the *subsampled dataset* Y = (y₁,..., y_m) as follows. For each j ∈ [m], independently choose a random element j' ∈ [n] and set y_j = x_{j'}. Note that the sampling is *independent* and *with replacement*. Suppose we now use Y to compute the statistics in place of x. That is, using

$$5 \cdot f(Y) = 5 \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{m} \varphi(y_j) \tag{2}$$

in place of the true answer

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \varphi(x_j)$$
(3)

Note that we multiply by 5 to account for the fact that $m = \frac{n}{5}$. Prove that this random subsample will simultaneously give a good estimate of the answers to many statistics. Specifically, one can prove the following result

Claim 0.1. Prove that for any set of statistics f_1, \ldots, f_k , with probability at least $\frac{99}{100}$,

$$\forall i \in [k] : \left| 5 \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{m} \varphi_i(y_j) - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \varphi_i(x_j) \right| \le O\left(\sqrt{n \log k}\right) \tag{4}$$

For this problem you will likely want to use the following form of "Chernoff Bound": if Z_1, \ldots, Z_m are independent where each Z_j has expectation $\mathbb{E}(Z_j) = \mu$ and Z_j takes values in [0, 1] then for every w > 0,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\sum_{j=1}^{m} Z_j - m\mu\right| > t\sqrt{m}\right) \le e^{-t^2/3}$$
(5)

4. (More accurate reconstruction with more random queries.) In this question we'll explore how to interpolate between the two reconstruction theorems we've seen. Specifically, we will prove a version of Theorem 2.5 that gives a more accurate reconstruction when we have $k \gg n$ queries. Suppose we have the following version of Claim 2.6 from the lecture notes:

Claim 0.2. Let $t \in \{-1, 0, +1\}^n$ be a vector with at least m non-zero entries and let $u \in \{0, 1\}^n$ be a uniformly random vector. Then for every parameter $2 \le w \ll 2^m$

$$\mathbb{P}\left(|u \cdot t| \ge \frac{\sqrt{m\log w}}{10}\right) \ge \frac{1}{w}$$
(6)

Using this claim, prove the following theorem

Theorem 0.3. If we ask $n^2 \ll k \ll 2^n$ queries, and all queries have error at most αn , then with extremely high probability, the reconstruction error is at most $O(\frac{\alpha^2 n^2}{\log(k/n)})$.

How does this theorem compare to the reconstruction attacks we've seen for $k \approx n^2$? What about $k \approx 2^{\sqrt{n}}$? What about $k \approx 2^n$?